NANOS Abstract Committee Review Criteria

The NANOS Abstract Committee is committed to ensuring that the review process is fair, transparent, and effective. Our overarching goal is to promote important clinical highlights and cutting-edge scientific advancements in neuro-ophthalmology. A panel of reviewers, masked to institution and author names, will judge the merit of abstract submissions by assigning a numerical score with particular emphasis on:

1. relevance of the scientific question,
2. rationale that supports the scientific hypothesis,
3. methodological approaches,
4. research findings, and
5. impact the work will have in the clinical and scientific communities.

Members of the Abstract Committee will work collaboratively to achieve academic equipoise in a review process that is designed to be both thorough and unbiased. As such, all decisions rendered by the Abstract Committee should be considered final, yet investigators can be assured that each abstract has received careful consideration.

NANOS Trainee Award Criteria

The NANOS Abstract Committee aims to promote the work of supervised medical students, residents, and fellows-in-training who represent the future of neuro-ophthalmology. Abstracts with trainees as presenting authors will be identified based on self-identification as a trainee (medical student, resident, fellow-in-training) through the abstract submission portal. Trainees’ abstracts will be considered for a variety of awards that are stratified based on level of training. Individuals applying for trainee awards are responsible for ensuring that they meet the appropriate eligibility criteria and provide the necessary information with which to verify this during the abstract submission process. This will be verified prior to award distribution.